Application for Core Curriculum Inclusion

Click to enter date of submission. 10/13/2023

TABLE #1	General Information					
Course Title:	FORS 2201 – Introduction to Forensic Science					
Course Description as listed in the current Fairmont State Catalog:	Credit Hours: 4. Lecture/Lab Hours: Students will be involved in 3 hours of lecture/discussion and a 3 hr lab session each week. This course is designed to engage students in the scientific study of collecting and analyzing physical evidence. Students will experience a variety of comparison science procedures to analyze such things as fingerprints, ink, soils, textiles, glass, drugs, tool marks, and ballistics. The culminating experience will engross students in solving a mock crime using techniques learned during the course.					
Prepared by:	Mark Flood	mine asing	teeriniques rearried durinig	Full-time		
Preparer email address:	Mark.flood@fairm	ontstate.edu				
Course Coordinator:	Mark Flood			Full-time		
Course Coordinator email:	Mark.flood@fairmontstate.edu					
Core Curriculum Category & Corresponding Outcome:	Category 7 - Natural Science with Critical Thinking 7. Students will demonstrate proficiency with scientific content and data analysis to address real world problems, and recognize the limitations of the scientific process.					
Enter ALL course outcomes: Note: If there are multiple outcomes this cell may spread onto another page. If that occurs, move Table #2 (page 7) onto a new page.	7.1: Students will use the vocabulary of basic principles, facts and theories of forensic and the natural sciences to demonstrate proficiency with scientific content 7.2: Students will demonstrate an understanding of the process and limitations of forensics-based scientific inquiry through lead bullet analysis. 7.3: Students will demonstrate the following skills: analysis; problem solving; quantitative manipulation; data interpretation/evaluation through forensics report writing. 7.4: Students will apply analysis, problem solving, quantitative manipulation, and data interpretation/evaluation of a mock crime scene to solve a mock crime.					
Signature of Appropriate Discipline Faculty	Mark Flood		Forensic Science Program	10/13/2023		
Signature of Unit Chair			Unit Name	Click here to enter a date.		
Signature of Unit Dean	Click here to choose college or school from drop-down menu. Click here to enter a date.					

FORS 2201 – Introduction to Forensic Science

Tentative Syllabus* – Fall 2023

Course Information

Course Description

Course Title	Intro to Forensic Science

Course Number FORS 2201

This lecture and lab-based course is designed to engage students in the scientific study of searching and processing crimes scenes as well as the proper collection and analysis of physical evidence. Students will be involved in 3 hours of lecture/discussion and a 3 hr lab session each week. Students will experience a variety of comparison science procedures to analyze such things as ink, soils, textiles, glass, drugs, tool marks, and ballistics. The culminating experience will engross students in solving a mock crime using collection and analysis techniques learned during the course.

Once this course is complete

7.1: Students will use the vocabulary of basic principles, facts and theories of forensic and the natural sciences to demonstrate proficiency with scientific content

7.2: Students will demonstrate an understanding of the process and limitations of forensics-based scientific inquiry through lead bullet analysis.

Course Objectives

7.3: Students will demonstrate the following skills: analysis; problem solving; quantitative manipulation; data interpretation/evaluation through forensics report writing.

7.4: Students will apply analysis, problem solving, quantitative manipulation, and data interpretation/evaluation of a mock crime scene to solve a mock crime.

Textbooks

Criminalistics: An Introduction of Forensic Science

Most recent Edition, Richard Saferstein

Recommended Reading

<u>Forensic Science Laboratory Manual and Worksheet</u> 3rd edition by

Thomas Kubic and Nicholas Petraco, CRC Press

ISBN 978-1-4200-8719-2

Instructors Information

Name and contact Mark Flood 117 HHH Phone: 367-4309

Kristy Henson 118 HHH 367-4877

E-mail Please email instructor from within Blackboard

Course Requirements	
Hardware/Software	You will need to have access to a computer with accurate and reliable internet access,
Additional costs	lab notebook that is 100 page carbonless pages, safety glasses
Other	Your lab notebook and safety glasses are required for entrance into the laboratory each session.

Course Evaluation

Grades will be determined from several sources including: 1) Exams based on lecture and labs performed (50%), 2) weekly assignment sheets and/or formal lab reports (30% of grade), 3) laboratory notebook skills (5% of grade), and 4) a final project that will include a lab practicum component (15%). Grades will be assigned on the typical 90-100 A, 80-89 B, 70-79 C, 60-69 D and anything 59% or less will earn an F.

Additional Grading Notes (PLEASE READ THESE CAREFULLY!)

- 1) No Extra credit will be accepted, unless specifically assigned by the instructor.
- 2) Attendance is expected at all laboratories, as no makeup labs are available to anyone!
- 3) Late assignments receive ½ credit if received with 24 hours of the due date, anything turned in more than 24 hours late with receive a zero, NO EXCEPTIONS!
- 4) Any student who does not receive a passing grade on the comprehensive final exam in this course will automatically receive a failing grade (F) for the course, regardless of their point total.

Academic Integrity

Fairmont State values highly the integrity of its student scholars. All students and faculty members are urged to share in the responsibility for removing every situation which might permit or encourage academic dishonesty. Cheating in any form, including plagiarism, must be considered a matter of the gravest concern. Cheating is defined here as the obtaining of information during an examination; the unauthorized use of books, notes, or other sources of information prior to or during an examination; the removal of faculty examination materials; the alteration of documents or records; or actions identifiable as occurring with the intent to defraud or use under false pretense.

Plagiarism is defined here as the submission of the ideas, words (written or oral), or artistic productions of another, falsely represented as one's original effort or without giving due credit. Students and faculty should examine proper citation forms to avoid inadvertent plagiarism.

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Services are available to any student, full or part-time, who has a need because of a [documented] disability. It is the student's responsibility to register for services with the coordinator of students with disabilities and to provide any necessary documentation to verify a disability or the need for accommodations. **Abby Franks, M.S., CRC** (She/her/hers)

Accessibility Services Coordinator

303-O Turley Center

Abigail.Franks@fairmontstate.edu

Access@fairmontstate.edu

Phone: (304) 367-4543

Fax: (304) 367-4584

Attendance

Students are expected to attend regularly the class and laboratory session of courses in which they are registered. Regular attendance is necessary to the successful completion of a course of study and is an integral part of a student's educational experience. Due to the preparation time required, make up laboratories are not possible. If you miss a laboratory session you will not receive the points associated with that session.

Copyright Notice

Material presented in this course may be protected by copyright law.

Content of course subject to change

* The content of this course is subject to change, which will be made via announcements in class and/or in writing in Blackboard.

FSU Academic policies

Please review the FSU policies at Institutional Syllabus Statements including

Social Justice Statement:

"Fairmont State University is committed to social justice. I concur with that commitment and expect to maintain a positive learning environment based upon open communication, mutual respect, and non-discrimination. Our University does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, age, disability, veteran's status, religion, sexual orientation, color or national origin. Any suggestions as to how to further such a positive and open environment in this class will be appreciated and given serious consideration. If you are a person with a disability and anticipate needing any type of accommodation in order to participate in this class, please advise and make appropriate arrangements with the Office of Accessibility Services (304) 367-4543

• COVID-19 Provisions:

Following guidance from the CDC as well as State and Local Officials, Fairmont State reserves the right to determine method of delivery of class content, and modify classroom configuration to comply with COVID-19 safety guidance. The University also reserves the

right to institute mandatory safety protocols including requiring students to wear masks at all times while in the classrooms.

Course	Outline		
Week	Week of	Lecture topics	Lab activity
1	Aug 21	Introduction/History/(Chapters 1 and 2)	Lab introduction to prepare for mock crime scene next week!!
2	Aug 28	Crime Scene (Chapters 2)	Crime Scene Sketching/Photography (Pence Hall)
3	Sep 04	Physical Evidence (Chapter 3)	WV State Police Forensic Lab tour
4	Sep 11	Metric system/Glass and soil evidence (Chapter 4) EXAM SEP 13	Glass analysis (lab report)
5	Sep 18	Elements and compounds/Organic Analysis (Chapter 5)	Soil analysis (lab worksheet
6	Sep 25	Inorganic Analysis (Chapter 6) Fingerprints (Chapter 14)	Paper and Thin Layer Chromatography (lab report)
7	Oct 02	Drugs (Chapter 9) EXAM OCT 06	Fingerprinting (lab worksheet)
8	Oct 09	Ballistics (Chapter 15)	Drug testing (lab worksheet)
9	Oct 16	Ballistics (Chapter 15)	Ballistics (lab worksheet)
10	Oct 23	Tool marks and other impressions (chapter 15) and serial number restoration	Casting for evidence (toolmarks and impression) (lab report)
11	Oct 30	The microscope/hair fiber and paint (chapters 7 and 8) EXAM NOV 03	Textile analysis (lab worksheet)

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

12	Nov 06	The microscope/hair fiber and paint (chapters 7 and 8) Arson and explosives (Ch 11)	Collect mock scene evidence (Pence Hall)
13	Nov 13	Document and voice examination (Chapter 16)	Processing evidence
	Nov 20	No classes – Thanksgiving break	
14	Nov 27	Computer Forensics (Chapters 17 and 18)	Finish final report from mock crime scene
15	Dec 06	COMPREHENSIVE FINAL EXAM at 8:00am	

Information Required for Creating Assessment Plan in Watermark

- Complete one copy of Table #2 for <u>each</u> course outcome which addresses the Core Curriculum category outcome.
- Copy Table #2 to create a separate table for additional course outcomes as many times as needed. Place only one table per page.
- Cells expand.

Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Outcome 1: Students will use the vocabulary of basic principles, facts and theories of forensic and the natural sciences to demonstrate proficiency with scientific content
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Exam
Details/ Description:	Students will take 4 exams that will test their knowledge with multiple choice and short answer questions
Satisfactory Performance Standard (based on rubric):	70% or higher class average on the second
Ideal Target (based on rubric):	90% or higher class average on the second.
Implementation Plan (timeline):	Once this course is accepted into the core curriculum, assessment will begin in the spring of 2025 after this class is taught for the first time as part of the core curriculum
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Mark Flood
Supporting Attachments: These attachments are to be placed immediately after the associated Table #2 in the proposal.	Attachment 1: Example of example exam. Attachment 2: Type here to enter description. Attachment 3: Type here to enter description.

Mana		
Name		

FORS 2201 - INTRO TO FORENSICS

Exam#2

Please read all questions and all answers carefully. If you have any difficulty understanding what the question is please

	mediately! Goo		is carefully. If y	ou have any um	inculty understanding what the question is please
Multip	le choice – circle	e the single best	answer for each	n question (2 poi	ints each)
1. The	basic building bl	ocks of all substa	ances are		
	A. Neutrons	B. Proteins	C. Electrons	D. Elements	E. Molecules
2. A mi	ixture's compon	ents can be sepa	rated by the te	chnique of	·
	A. Chromatogr	aphy	B. Spectropho	tometry	C. Microspectrometry
	D. Microscopio	analysis E. X-ra	y diffraction		
	•	has traveled up a value called the ₋		e divided by the	e distance traveled by the moving liquid phase car
	A. Rf value	B. GC value	C. MS value	D. Retention t	time E. Wavelength
	amount of radia law.	ition a substance	will absorb is d	irectly proportic	onal to its concentration as defined by
	A. Beer's	B. Flood's	C. Planck's	D. Boyle's	E. Fourier's
5. The	is a	ın instrument uso	ed to measure a	and record the e	emission spectrum of a chemical substance.
	A. Microscope	B. Spec	trometer	C. Chromome	eter
	D. Neutron Act	tivation Analyzer	E. Mo	nochromator	
6. The	technique of	expose	es molecules to	a beam of high	energy electrons in order to fragment them.
	A. HPLCB. GC	C. MS	D. NA	A E. AAS	
7. As X	-rays are reflect	ed off a material	's surface, they	form a series of	f light and dark bands know as a(n)


- A. Refraction pattern
- B. Reflected pattern
- C. Resorption pattern

- D. Emission pattern
- E. Diffraction pattern

- 8. The is an instrument used to obtain and record the line spectrum of elements.
 - A. Emission spectrograph

- B. Neutron Activation Analyzer
- C. Atomic Absorption Spectrometer
- D. Microspectrophotometer

E. X-ray diffraction



- 9. The output above most likely came from a(n)
 - A. HPLCB. GC
- C. MS
- D. NAA E. AAS
- 10. The technique of bombarding specimens with neutrons and measuring the resultant gamma ray emissions is known as
 - A. HPLCB. GC
- C. MS
- D. NAA E. AAS
- 11. Fingerprints are a reproduction of ______ that originate from the _____.
- A. Skin friction ridges, AFIS database
- B. Deltas, dermal papillae
- C. AFIS database, dermal papillae
- D. Dermal papillae, skin friction ridges
- E. Skin friction ridges, dermal papillae
- 12. Fingerprint impressions that are not readily visible are called
 - A. Lonely
- B. Invincible
- C. Latent
- D. Bifurcated
- E. Convergent

A. CBD B. RGB C. ABC D. THC E. ABO

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8. 13. The three general patterns into which fingerprints are divided are


A. Arch, loop	, and bump	B. Whorl, loop,	and bump	C. Arch, bump, and rock
D. Loop, whorl, and arch		E. None of the above are correct		t
14. CBD (cannabidiol) is used to treat ep	oilepsy and is typ	ically extracted	from
A. Glo-fish B.He	mpC. Tobacco	D. Earthworms	E. T-rex toenails	S
15. The least addictive	ve AND least toxic o	of the following "	drugs" is	
A. Cocaine	B. MethC. Mari	juana D. Nico	tine E. Hero	in
16. The emission spe	ctrograph is used t	o determine the	:	
a. Mass of a substance	ce b. Weig	tht of a substanc	e c. Cryst	alline structure of a substance
d. Elemental compos	sition of a substanc	e	e. All of the abo	ove
17. If you are using c	yanoacrylate, ninhy	ydrin, and silver	nitrate reagents,	, then you are most likely testing for
A. Presence of seme	n B. Prese	ence of blood	C. Plast	ic fingerprints
D. Latent fingerprints	s E. Toolr	marks		
18. Heroin is a chemi	ical derivative of:			
a. Morphine b. Ba	arbituric acid	c. Codeine	d. Methadone	e. Amphetamine
19. Narcotics are ana	algesics that typical	ly fall in which ca	ategory?	
A. Stimulants B. Ha	allucinogens	C. Depressants	D. Club	drugs E. Uppers
20. Pyrolysis gas chro	omatography is a pa	articularly valual	ole technique foi	r characterizing paint's
A. Binder	B. ResinC. Pigm	ents D. Base	coat E. Clear	coat
21. Emission spectro	scopy can be used	to identify the _	compone	nts of paint's pigments.
A. Inorganic	B. Organic	C. Alliterative	D. Clearcoat	E. Basecoat
22. The main chemic	al in marijuana tha	t has hallucinoge	enic properties is	5

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8. 23. Latent fingerprints on non-norous surfaces are most often developed by at the company of the compa

23. Latent fing	erprints on	non-porous	s surfaces a	are most ofter	i developed	by	at the crime s	scene.
A. Ninhydrin	В	3. Iodine fum	ing	C.Luminol	D. Dusti	ng with finge	rprint powde	r
E. Just taking r	andom pict	tures with a	heat sensi	tive camera				
24. A key to ar	y detailed	analysis of e	vidence is	to try to obta	in	_ results, not	just	ones.
A. Quantitative, qualitative				B. Qualitative	e, quantitat	ive		
C. Ana	lytical, qua	ntitative		D. Quantitati	ve, analytic	al		
E. Subj	ective, obj	ective						
25. Marijuana	is consider	ed to be a:						
a. Depressant	b. Stimula	ant c. Na	rcotic	d. Hallucinog	en e. Tranc	uilizer		
26. Drugs deer	ned to hav	e the lowest	potential	for abuse with	n having a c	urrent medic	al	
use are listed i	n which scl	hedule of the	e Controlle	ed Substances	Act?			
a. I	b. II c	. III d. IV	e. V					
27. The first pe	erson to wr	ite a book a	bout the st	atistics of fing	gerprints wa	as		
A. Berl	tillion B	3. Vucetich	C. Floor	d D. Ga	alton	E. Darwin		
20. 4	. d t t	المارة والمراشون	- : : : :		l £	!#		
28. A compour		•				m its:		
	ier gas	b. Rf		c. Partition co	perncient			
a. Rete	ention time	e e. Pe	ak height					
29. A single mo	ost specific	test for ider	ntification	of a forensic s	ample is:			
a. Infrared spe	ctrophotor	metry	b. Ultra	violet spectro	photometr	у		
c. Gas chromat	tography d	l. Thin-layer	chromato	graphy e. De	nsity-gradi	ent tubes		
30. The record	er of a spe	ctrophotom	eter can be	e used to mea	sure the:			
a. Rf value of li	-			ne of light		l of light		
d. Absorption	_				fractive ind	_		
•	-	·				-		

31. The database system for fingerprint comparisons is called

- A. CODIS
- B. AFIS C. NIBIN
- D. Solemate
- E. ABO
- 32. The first person to use fingerprinting principles to solve a criminal case in Argentina was
 - A. Bertillion
- B. Vucetich
- C. Flood
- D. Galton
- E. Darwin
- 33. The type of relationship between frequency and wavelength of radiation sources is
- A. Direct
- B. Almost direct C. Inverse
- D. None
- E. Really creepy



- 34. The process of ______is shown in the picture above
- A. Absorption
- B. Emission
- C. X-ray diffraction D. Sublimation
- E. Wavy gravy

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

35. Using the 3 pictures below and assuming they came from the right hand, classify each of the fingerprints below as specifically as possible. (6 points)







A.


B.


C.

36. List 5 ridge characteristics (minutiae) that are commonly used as points of reference in fingerprinting cases. (5 points)


37. Given the following equation and the information below for Bailey's fingerprints, determine the primary classification of this fingerprint set using the Henry method. Show your work for maximum points (6 points)

$$(Ri + Rr + Lt + Lm + Lp) + 1/(Rt + Rm + Rp + Li + Lr) + 1$$





Using the picture below, answer the following 2 questions:



38. What type of analysis technique is shown in the picture? (The samples moved from the bottom of the picture to the top) (4 points)

39. Do any of the 5 samples appear to share a common origin? Provide detailed analysis to prove or disprove the common origin of these two samples, especially pointing to things on the picture. (4 points)

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Given the picture below, answer the following 2 questions:

40. What molecule type is being analyzed? The samples ran from top to bottom of the picture (4 points)

41. Do any of the 5 suspects (A,B,C,D or E) have a common origin with the sample that was found at the crime scene? Briefly explain your answer, and point to things on the picture to help prove your point. (4 points)

Size standard A B C D E Crime scene




Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Outcome 2: Students will demonstrate an understanding of the process and limitations of forensics-based scientific inquiry through lead bullet analysis.
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Exam
Details/ Description:	Students will watch a video about lead bullet analysis, a technique that was used by the FBI, but is no longer utilized in forensic science because the science has been invalidated. Students will then take a quiz pertaining to the topic of lead bullet analysis.
Satisfactory	70% or higher class average on the quiz
Performance Standard	
(based on rubric):	
Ideal Target (based on	90% or higher class average on the quiz.
rubric):	
Implementation Plan (timeline):	Once this course is accepted into the core curriculum, assessment will begin in the spring of 2025 after this class is taught for the first time as part of the core curriculum
Key/Responsible	Mark Flood
Personnel:	
Supporting	Attachment 1:
Attachments:	Type here to enter description.
These attachments are to	Attachment 2:
be placed immediately	Type here to enter description.
after the associated Table	Attachment 3:
#2 in the proposal.	Type here to enter description.

Lead bullet analysis assignment:

Watch a segment of the "60 minutes" television show called evidence of injustice using the link below. Watch that segment and take thorough notes related to the content. Complete the quiz related to content covered in that segment.

https://www.cbs.com/shows/60 minutes/video/855826614/evidence-of-injustice/

Quiz: 10 points (only last question will be used for assessment purposes)

- 1. Explain the ballistic technique mentioned in the video. (1 point)
- 2. Compare and contrast this technique to the techniques we discussed in lecture. (1 point)
- 3. List 3 reasons this technique became invalid. (2 points)
- 4. Discuss how the scientific process is limited in the topic of lead bullet analysis (5 points)
 - Briefly list and describe 1 aspect of how the process of science is limited in a paragraph or less =
 1 point
 - Briefly list and describe 2 aspects of how the process of science is limited in a paragraph or less =
 2 points
 - Provide 2 or more paragraphs that demonstrate the limitations of science by describing multiple limitations of this invalidated technique = 5 points

Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Outcome 3: Students will demonstrate the following skills: analysis; problem solving; quantitative manipulation; data interpretation/evaluation through forensics report writing.
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Student Artifact
Details/ Description:	Students will write lab reports on at least 2 forensic science topics covered during the semester. Assessment with data analysis will be made from the results and discussion sections of the final lab report of the semester.
Satisfactory Performance Standard (based on rubric):	70% or higher class average on the final lab report discussion and results sections of the semester
Ideal Target (based on rubric):	80% or higher class average on the final lab report discussion and results sections of the semester
Implementation Plan (timeline):	Once this course is accepted into the core curriculum, assessment will begin in the spring of 2025 after this class is taught for the first time as part of the core curriculum
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Mark Flood
Supporting Attachments: These attachments are to be placed immediately after the associated Table #2 in the proposal.	Attachment 1: Example of lab report grading rubric. Attachment 2: Type here to enter description. Attachment 3: Type here to enter description.

Outcome 2 assignment:

Students will write lab reports on at least 2 forensic science topics covered during the semester.

Students are given the rubric ahead of time, and are expected to grade a mock lab report to get an experience with what the expectations are for the grading of their assignments.

An example of a lab procedure provided is shown below:

Glass analysis

This experiment will allow you to determine the density of some glass samples. And the pattern of glass fracture lines in panes of glass. By this means you will attempt to establish the possibility of two glass fragments having a common origin, and determine the direction of a projectile through a window as well as the sequence.

The density of objects is determined by measurement of the mass, volume, or loss of weight in water of the object, and then applying a mathematical relationship to arrive at a value for this property. The method for the determination of volume used in this exercise is based on a physics relationship known as Archimedes's principle. This principle states that, an object immersed in a fluid displaces a volume of fluid equal to its volume. For example, a 1cm cube of glass placed in water will "push aside" 1 cubic centimeter of water. Another statement of this principle is: an object immersed in a fluid (water in this instance) is buoyed up by a force equal to the weight of the displaced fluid. In other words, if we assume that a 1em cube of glass weighs about 2.5 g while a 1em cube of water weighs 1g, when the glass cube is placed in water it will weigh 2.5 g minus 1g, or 1.5 g. Since 1cubic centimeter of water is equal to 1g of water, we now have the volume of the glass.

Procedure #1 - Density determination

DENSITY MEASUREMENTS- ELECTRONIC BALANCE

- 1. Obtain a balance, a piece of fine string, a 250 mL beaker, a ring stand, a ruler, and a piece of known glass. Measure the thickness of your glass fragment in cm.
- 2. Zero the balance.



3. Weigh the glass fragment in air to the nearest 0.01g and record this value.




4. Add the ring stand over the balance. Place a 250 mL beaker partially filled with water on the balance. Zero the balance again



5. Tie a string around the glass fragment.



6. Weigh the glass fragment suspended in water to the nearest 0.01 g and record this value. Convert this value to milliliters. (Recall that 1g of water has avolume of 1 mL.) This is the volume of the glass sample.



7. The density of the glass fragment is determined by the following relationship:

Density of object = mass of object in the air (g) volume of the glass sample (mL)

8. Repeat this process for the other known glass fragments you have been given and also for the "unknown" glass fragments. Record all data in your lab notebook and also give your impression as to whether the "unknown" glass has any potential for being of common origin to any of the known samples

Primary Trait Scoring Scale RUBRIC For FORS 2201 Results and Discussion

Results Rubric

- 7 Student (1) selects quantifiable experimental factors and/or defines and establishes quantitative units of comparison; (2) measures the quantifiable factors and/or units in appropriate quantities or intervals; (3) student selects appropriate statistical information to be utilized in the results; (4) student displays results in graphs with correctly labeled axes; (5) data are presented to the reader in text as well as graphic form; (6) tables or graphs have self-contained legends underneath them.
- 5 Contains all the expected elements of the results section except tables or graphs do not contain appropriate legends, or axes on graphs are inappropriately labeled.
- 3 Contains all the expected elements of the results section except the data reported in graphs or tables contain materials that are irrelevant and/or not statistically appropriate.
- 2 Contains all the expected elements of the results section except it either lacks data represented in a graph or table where appropriate, or lacks a thorough written description of the results.
- 0 Student does not select, collect, and/or communicate quantifiable results.

Discussion Rubric

- 10 Clearly (1) explains expected results, how the data collected compares to the expected results, and offers explanations and/or suggestions for further research for unexpected results; (2) draws inferences that are consistent with the data and scientific reasoning and relates these to interested audiences (3) relates data to the scientific literature (where this was used in criminal cases, for example); (4) identifies at least 4 major sources of error in the experiment; (5) summarizes the purpose and the findings of the research; and (6) student accepts or rejects the hypothesis.
- 7 Lacks in one of the critical elements of the discussion section.
- 5 Lacks in two of the critical elements of the discussion section.
- 2 Lacks in three or more of the critical elements of the discussion section.
- 0 Nothing beneficial can be gleaned by the reader of this section.

Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Outcome 4: Students will apply analysis, problem solving, quantitative manipulation, and data interpretation/evaluation of a mock crime scene to solve a mock crime.
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Student Artifact

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Details/ Description:	Students will report on a mock crime scene investigation and submit a written report that summarizes all the techniques used during the mock crime scene investigation and evidence testing phases of the class. They also will be assessed on the ability to critically analyze the information they obtain to help solve the mock crime.
Satisfactory	70% or higher class average on the final report
Performance Standard	
(based on rubric):	
Ideal Target (based on	90% or higher class average on the final report.
rubric):	
Implementation Plan	Once this course is accepted into the core curriculum, assessment will begin
(timeline):	in the spring of 2025 after this class is taught for the first time as part of the
•	core curriculum Mark Flood
Key/Responsible	Wark Hood
Personnel:	
Supporting	Attachment 1:
Attachments:	Grading rubric for final project assessment
These attachments are to	Attachment 2:
be placed immediately	Type here to enter description.
after the associated Table	Attachment 3:
#2 in the proposal.	Type here to enter description.

Students will submit a written report that summarizes all the techniques used during the mock crime scene investigation and evidence testing phases of the class. They also will be assessed on the ability to critically analyze the information they obtain to help solve the mock crime.

An example of a scenario from fall 2023:

Case Number: 2023-2201-01 Investigating Officers:

Jack Black and Barney Fife

FAIRMONT CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

CRIME SCENE REPORT

Location: Room #	Pence Hall, 1201 Locust Avenue, Fairmont, WV

Search and Investigation:

Case Number: 2023-2201-01

Detective Fife was first to arrive on the scene and could not find the pulse on the victim and called EMS. The EMS arrived and checked the body. Kay Scarpetta, the medical examiner, pronounced the time of death for the victim, John "Blue" Berry, at approximately 03:55 hrs on Tuesday, November 7, 2023. Offices investigating the crime took some witness statements, and have some follow up statements that have been taken since that time. A gun was found by Detective Black, removed from the kitchen floor, checked for additional cartridges inside the gun, and bagged by Detective Fife. No fingerprints were found on the gun. The wife, Amanda "Huckle" Berry, and young daughter, Bluetooth "Holly" Berry, were in the home at the time of the shooting. The crime scene has been secured awaiting the arrival of the investigative team. The body has been left in place for the investigative team, and will be taken to United Hospital Center for pathological analysis.

- -Two 911 calls documented:
 - -First call from immediate neighbor made at 02:32; female caller; identified

herself as Fedora Hall

-Second call made from victims residence made at 02:45; female caller; identified

herself as Amanda "Huckle" Berry and wife of victim, also present in the household,

was victim and caller's daughter

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Intro to Forensic Science - FORS 2201

Final mock crime write-up (50 points)

Things to include in your write-up

1) Explanation and photographs of evidence collected at crime scene and tests performed on each item of evidence (if applicable) (7 points)

7 points - A (1) thorough explanation of why that particular evidence was collected and (2) detailed pictures taken with the typical crime scene rules properly followed. In addition, an (3) explanation of why the test types were selected for that particular evidence.

4 points – Missing one of the 3 main items mentioned above

1 point – Missing 2 of the 3 main items mentioned above

0 points - None of the 3 main items mentioned above are successfully completed

2) Detailed description of procedures used to process evidence (include precautions taken to ensure accuracy of results, and precautions to prevent contamination) (7 points)

7 points – Provides detailed description of methods such that others could repeat the processing steps taken (written at a level that FORS 2201 students should be able to understand). Precautions taken are described and appropriate for the evidence being processed

4 points – Precautions are not described and/or are not appropriate for the type of evidence, but procedures are described in detailed so that they could be repeated.

2 points – Methods are summarized in a way so that they could not be repeated but precautions described are detailed and appropriate.

O points – Procedures are not described in enough detail and precautions are not described and/or appropriate.

3) Results obtained from evidence processing in written, photographic, and data (data tables and/or graphical) form where appropriate. Discussing common origin in this section is NOT appropriate! (10 points)

10 points – Results are described in great detail and are appropriately documented in photographic and data forms

6 points – Results are briefly reported with appropriate documentation in photographic and/or data. OR describing common origin in this section.

4 points – Results are described in great detail in written form, but lack appropriate photographic or data to support.

2 points – Photographic documentation of evidence is appropriate but results are not described in written form in an appropriate level of detail and data tables or graphs are not utilized.

0 points – Lacking in professionalism in completion of the photo documentation as well as written summary of results.

4) Discussion of significance of results obtained to the case. Discussing common origin in this section IS appropriate! (10 points)

10 points – Provides a (1) thorough and detailed examination of the evidence in the relationship to the case, including whether the common origin comparison for pieces of evidence is at a level of class or individual evidence level. Discussion is accurate for the evidence being described.

6 points – Discussion may have some inaccuracies but it thorough and detailed.

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

3 points - Discussion is accurate but not thorough and detailed

0 points - Discussion is inaccurate and lacks thorough and detailed analysis of results obtained

5) What 2 pieces of additional evidence would you have like to have processed? Briefly provide a rationale for each piece of evidence. (4 points)

4 points – The 2 pieces of evidence are appropriate to the case and might have provided more insight into the final outcome of the case. A good rationale is made for each item

2 points – 1 of the items is not appropriate and/or helpful OR a good rationale is not provided

0 points - Neither item is appropriate and/or helpful OR no rationale is provided

6) What 2 additional tests would you have liked to perform on the evidence collected at the crime scene (limited to tests performed in lab this semester)? Briefly provide a rationale for each test. (4 points)

4 points – The 2 pieces of test are appropriate to the case and might have provided more insight into the final outcome of the case. A good rationale is made for each item

2 points – 1 of the tests is not appropriate and/or helpful OR a good rationale is not provided

0 points - Neither test is appropriate and/or helpful OR no rationale is provided

- 7) Based on the evidence you processed:
 - a. What do you believe happened? (4 points)

4 points – Description of potential events must be believable in terms of where the evidence was found and the results of the testing. There should be a description of any limitations or "holes in the case" to the potential scenario of the crime

2 points - Description may not include limitations or have some small inaccuracies

0 points – Scenario is not believable based on the evidence in the case

b. Who should be taken into custody and potentially taken to trial? What should they be charged with based on the evidence found and results obtained? (4 points)

4 points – Description of the person or persons must be based on accurate information regarding the case. If there is any doubt to person's guilt, then this should also be presented. If multiple people should be charged with different crimes or different levels of crimes then describe this.

2 points – Person or persons are potentially not charged with the appropriate crimes or appropriate level of crimes.

0 points – The person or persons mentioned are not likely to be convicted based on the actual evidence in this case.

Application for Core Curriculum Inclusion

Click to enter date of submission. 11/8/2023

TABLE #1	General Informa	ation		
Course Title:	STEM 1100			
Course Description as listed in the current Fairmont State Catalog:	STEM 1100 – STEM SOAR (1 cr.) Students will gain the knowledge and tools needed to achieve STEM academic success and participate in personal growth activities that help them to develop the qualities of a college-educated person. Additionally, students will develop strong connections with STEM faculty, staff, and other STEM students who will walk with them throughout their college career. Requires a grade of "C" or higher (students who earn a D or F in STEM SOAR must repeat the course). General Education Requirement Satisfied: First Year Seminar			
Prepared by:	Robert Niichel			Full-time
Preparer email address:	rniichel@fairmonts	state.edu		
Course Coordinator:	Abby Chapman			Full-time
Course Coordinator email:	Abby.Chapman@fa			
Core Curriculum Category & Corresponding Outcome:	Category 1 - First Year Seminar	and actively	ill apply academic tools, utilize cam participate in order to successfully t the first year of college.	
Enter ALL course outcomes:	Outcome 1: Students will apply academic tools to their personal college experience.			
Note: If there are multiple outcomes this cell may spread onto another page. If that occurs, move Table #2 (page 7) onto a new page.	Outcome 2: Students will use campus resources to solve challenges they face. Outcome 3: Students will actively participate in class activities.			
Signature of Appropriate Discipline Faculty				Click here to enter a date.
Signature of Unit Chair	See Attached		Computer Science and Math Engineering Technology Natural Sciences	Click here to enter a date.
Signature of Unit Dean	Steven Pool		College of Science & Technology	11/8/2023

- Complete one copy of Table #2 for <u>each</u> course outcome which addresses the Core Curriculum category outcome.
- Copy Table #2 to create a separate table for additional course outcomes as many times as needed. Place only one table per page.
- Cells expand.

Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Students will apply academic tools to their personal college experience.
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Student Artifact
Details/ Description:	Students will produce an academic pathway/plan using electronic campus tools like Digital Measures or the course catalog. Students will also submit a brief description of what resources they used to develop the plan
Satisfactory Performance Standard (based on rubric):	Average score of 14 or better using the attached Academic Plan Assessment Rubric
Ideal Target (based on rubric):	Average score of 16 or better using the attached Academic Plan Assessment Rubric
Implementation Plan (timeline):	This outcome will be assessed whenever the course is taught
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Abby Chapman
Supporting Attachments: These attachments are to be placed immediately	Attachment 1: Academic Plan Assessment Rubric Attachment 2: Type here to enter description. Attachment 3:
after the associated Table #2 in the proposal.	Type here to enter description.

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Outcome 1, Attachment #1: Academic plan assessment rubric

Dimension	Needs improvement (1pt)	Average (3pts)	Excellent (5pts)
Completeness	Academic plan is	Academic plan is complete,	Academic plan is complete
	incomplete	but some classes are	
		missing	
Accuracy	Academic plan has some	Academic plan has some	Academic plan is accurate
	significant errors	errors	
Presentation of	The plan is difficult to read	The plan is mostly	The plan is neat, organized,
Information	and understand	comprehensible, but some	and easy to interpret
		things are confusing	
Use of technology	The project is handwritten	The project exists in	The project exists in
	and/or electronic tools	electronic form and	electronic form and
	were not used to produce	adequate technological	multiple electronic sources
	the final result	tools were used	were used

Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Students will learn how to use campus resources to solve challenges they face.
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Exam
Details/ Description:	Students will complete a scavenger hunt activity during class time. The following week, students will take a quiz on campus resources (see attached)
Satisfactory Performance Standard (based on rubric):	Average score of 8/10 or better
Ideal Target (based on rubric):	Average score of 9/10 or better
Implementation Plan (timeline):	This outcome will be assessed whenever the course is taught
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Abby Chapman
Supporting Attachments: These attachments are to be placed immediately after the associated Table	Attachment 1: Assessment quiz. Attachment 2: Type here to enter description. Attachment 3:
#2 in the proposal.	Type here to enter description.

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Outcome 2, Attachment #1: Campus resources quiz

Note: questions are subject to change depending on campus resources and feedback from professors/students.

- 1. What is the name of your current academic advisor?
- 2. What building is their office located in? Bonus: What is their office number?
- 3. You are having trouble with your math homework. What resource(s) on campus can provide you with free tutoring?
- 4. Where is your answer for question #3 located?
- 5. Your friend just broke up with their boyfriend/girlfriend. They seem to be having a rough time dealing with it. Although you want to help them, their issues seem like more than you are equipped to deal with. What resource on campus would be in the best position to help them?
- 6. Where is your answer for question #5 located?
- 7. You need a resume for your first job applications. You feel like you don't really have anything to put on it. Where should you go on campus to help you think through your experiences and build your best resume?
- 8. Where is your answer to question #7 located?
- 9. What building is Financial Aid located in?
- 10. Where (building and floor) are the offices of Information Technology (IT)?

Table #2	Course Outcome Information
Course Outcome:	Students will actively participate in class activities.
Method to Measure Course Outcome	Direct - Other
Details/ Description:	Students will complete a group presentation on a STEM topic that interests them. Students and professor will then complete an assessment of each of their group members
Satisfactory Performance Standard (based on rubric):	The average student's score will be 18/25 or better (see attached Group Member Assessment Rubric)
Ideal Target (based on rubric):	The average student's score will be 21/25 or better (see attached Group Member Assessment Rubric)
Implementation Plan (timeline):	This outcome will be assessed whenever the course is taught
Key/Responsible Personnel:	Abby Chapman
Supporting Attachments: These attachments are to be placed immediately	Attachment 1: Group presentation Rubric Attachment 2: Type here to enter description.
after the associated Table #2 in the proposal.	Attachment 3: Type here to enter description.

Submissions for the next academic year accepted through November 8.

Outcome 3, Attachment #1: Group Member Assessment Rubric

Dimension/Task	Needs Improvement (1 pt.)	Average/Acceptable (3pts.)	Excellent (5 pts)
Individual Participation	My teammate rarely or	My teammate contributed	My teammate always
within Group	never contributed to the	to the group project and	contributed to the group
	group project or activities	activities most of the time	project and activities
Respect	My teammate rarely or	My teammate usually	My teammate always
	never encouraged nor	encouraged and supported	encouraged and supported
	supported the ideas and	the ideas and efforts of	the ideas and efforts of
	efforts of other team	other team members	other team members
	members		
Sharing	My teammate rarely or	My teammate offered their	My teammate always
	never offered their ideas	ideas and findings to the	offered their ideas and
	and/or findings to the	group most of the time	findings with the other
	group		team members.
Cooperation	My teammate rarely or	My teammate offered to	My teammate offered to
	never offered to help other	help other group members	help other group members
	group members	most of the time	throughout the project
Organization and	My teammate is	My teammate works in	My teammate leads the
Presentation	disorganized and offered	partnership with others to	group in organizing the
	little to completing the	organize materials and the	information and producing
	final project	final project	the final projects.

Teammate name	Points:	+	+	+	+	=	
Teammate name	Points:	+	+	+	+	=	
Teammate name	Points:	+	+	+	+	=	

Fairmont State University STEM 1100 STEM SOAR Master Syllabus 2023-2024

Section and Semester*

Instructor	Name	and	Title:
------------	------	-----	--------

Phone: E-mail:

Office Location: Office Hours:

Classroom Location:

Course Description

Students will gain the knowledge and tools needed to achieve STEM academic success and participate in personal growth activities that help them to develop the qualities of a college-educated person. Additionally, students will develop strong connections with STEM faculty, staff, and other STEM students who will walk with them throughout their college career.

Course Delivery*

[Instructors may add notes about course delivery.]

Course Map/Connecting Learning Outcomes and Assessments

By the end of the course...

Course Learning Outcomes	Assessments/ Assignments
Students will apply academic tools to their personal college experience.	Students will produce an academic pathway/plan using electronic campus tools like Digital Measures or the course catalog. Students will also submit a brief description of what resources they used to develop the plan. Assessed using Academic Plan Rubric
Students will learn how to use campus resources to solve challenges they face.	Students will complete a scavenger hunt activity during class time. The

	following week, students will take a
	quiz on campus resources.
Students will actively participate in class activities.	Students will complete a group presentation on a STEM topic that interests them. Students and professor will then complete an assessment of each of their group
	members.

Evaluation and Grading Scale [Suggestion]

Final course grades will be determined as follows:

Attendance and Participation: 33%
Assignments: 33%
Group Project: 24%
Final Activity: 10%

Students must receive a final grade of "C" or better to receive Core Curriculum credit.

Course Policies and Guidelines [added by instructors]

[Include any course or university policies that students need to be aware of. This is where you set expectations for student behavior as learners and as people. It is strongly suggested to include policies regarding academic integrity and late submission. Other policies may include student conduct, incomplete grades, withdrawal without penalty, confidentiality, or course communication. Sample policy categories and language are below.]

Academic Support and Resources

Standard Syllabus Statements

https://www.fairmontstate.edu/academicaffairs/syllabus-statements

Learning Enrichment and Academic Development Center

https://www.fairmontstate.edu/academics/lead-center

Minimum Technology Requirements

https://wvnet.softchalkcloud.com/lesson/serve/Y2ncKLQFmJ18C0/html

Student Policies

https://wvnet.softchalkcloud.com/lesson/serve/WCqoipmRAUxltj/html

Course Outcomes:

Outcome 1: Students will apply academic tools to their personal college experience.

Outcome 2: Students will use campus resources to solve challenges they face.

Outcome 3: Students will actively participate in class activities.

Course Topics

- 1. Basic college skills (5 weeks)
 - a. Getting ready for a course
 - i. Syllabus
 - ii. Blackboard
 - iii. Academic policies overview
 - iv. Understanding academic barriers
 - b. Time Management—using planners and calendars
 - c. Test-taking
 - i. General test-taking skills
 - ii. Math-specific tips and advice
 - d. Library and LEAD Center
 - e. Campus Resources
- 2. Intermediate skills for succeeding as a STEM student (5 weeks)
 - a. Metacognition: how to think about how you think
 - b. My STEM Story—draft
 - c. Finding and reading STEM articles
 - d. Note-taking skills
 - e. Academic pathways—planning for your future as a STEM major
 - f. Using Microsoft Excel and Office
- 3. Building a sense of STEM professionalism (4 weeks + Final exam period)
 - a. Group project—presentation on a STEM topic of interest
 - b. Metacognition—personal experiences
 - c. My STEM story—end-of-semester version
 - d. Peer mentoring—getting help from your fellow students
 - e. Team-building activities

From: Schoonmaker, Lori

Sent: Monday, October 30, 2023 11:09 AM **To:** Niichel, Robert; Matthews, James

Cc: Ross, Susan; Hemler, Deb; Henson, Kristy; Chapman, Abby; Flood, Mark; Cook, Rachel;

Roof, Steven

Subject: RE: Course Prefix

Thank you—

Once the curriculum proposal is submitted and approved, I will build the course prefix.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Sincerely,

Lori Schoonmaker, M.A.

Registrar / PDSO / ARO



1201 Locust Avenue Fairmont, WV 26554 (304) 367-4141

FAX: (304) 367-4789

From: Niichel, Robert < Robert. Niichel@fairmontstate.edu>

Sent: Monday, October 30, 2023 11:07 AM

To: Schoonmaker, Lori <Lori.Schoonmaker@fairmontstate.edu>; Matthews, James <jmatthews1@fairmontstate.edu> **Cc:** Ross, Susan <Susan.Ross@fairmontstate.edu>; Hemler, Deb <Deb.Hemler@fairmontstate.edu>; Henson, Kristy

<Kristy.Henson@fairmontstate.edu>; Chapman, Abby <Abby.Chapman@fairmontstate.edu>; Flood, Mark

<Mark.Flood@fairmontstate.edu>; Cook, Rachel <rcook11@fairmontstate.edu>; Roof, Steven

<Steven.Roof@fairmontstate.edu>

Subject: RE: Course Prefix

Lori,

After discussing the matter, we would like it to go under Natural Sciences.

Thank you!

From: Hemler, Deb

Sent: Monday, November 6, 2023 10:37 AM

To: Niichel, Robert

Subject: RE: STEM SOAR Course

We approved... so sorry, thought I emailed you... it was a pretty hectic week. deb

From: Niichel, Robert < Robert. Niichel@fairmontstate.edu>

Sent: Monday, November 6, 2023 10:36 AM

To: Hemler, Deb <Deb.Hemler@fairmontstate.edu>; Hossain, Mahmood <Mahmood.Hossain@fairmontstate.edu>;

Shanmugam, Ragavanantham < Ragavanantham. Shanmugam@fairmontstate.edu>

Cc: Roof, Steven <Steven.Roof@fairmontstate.edu>

Subject: STEM SOAR Course

Dear All,

I need to submit the STEM SOAR application on November 8. Would you all please let me know if your departments approved or not?

Thank you very much!

Bob

From: Hossain, Mahmood

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2023 6:40 AM

To: Niichel, Robert

Cc: Hemler, Deb; Shanmugam, Ragavanantham; Roof, Steven

Subject: Re: STEM SOAR Course

The CSM departement approved it.

Mahmood Hossain, Ph.D.
Chair, Department of Computer Science and Math
Professor of Computer Science
Fairmont State University
302A Engineering Tech Building
1201 Locust Avenue
Fairmont, WV 26554
Voice: (304) 367-4967

On Nov 6, 2023, at 10:35 AM, Niichel, Robert <Robert.Niichel@fairmontstate.edu> wrote:

Dear All,

I need to submit the STEM SOAR application on November 8. Would you all please let me know if your departments approved or not?

Thank you very much!

Bob

From: Shanmugam, Ragavanantham

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2023 2:12 PM **To:** Hossain, Mahmood; Niichel, Robert

Cc: Hemler, Deb; Roof, Steven
Subject: Re: STEM SOAR Course

The ET dept is also approving it

Ragavanantham Shanmugam (Raggs), PhD.,

Department Chair & Associate Professor
Department of Engineering Technology
College of Science & Technology
Fairmont State University
Fairmont, WV-26554

Mob: +1 505-409-0663

<u>Linkedin</u> <u>Google Scholar</u> <u>ResearchGate</u> <u>Dr.Raggs.com</u>

" If you want to build a great team, create an environment where someone can raise their hand and say "I disagree" without any fear of victimization or termination"



From: Hossain, Mahmood < Mahmood. Hossain@fairmontstate.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, November 7, 2023 6:39 AM

To: Niichel, Robert < Robert. Niichel@fairmontstate.edu>

Cc: Hemler, Deb <Deb.Hemler@fairmontstate.edu>; Shanmugam, Ragavanantham

<Ragavanantham.Shanmugam@fairmontstate.edu>; Roof, Steven <Steven.Roof@fairmontstate.edu>

Subject: Re: STEM SOAR Course

The CSM departement approved it.

Mahmood Hossain, Ph.D.
Chair, Department of Computer Science and Math
Professor of Computer Science
Fairmont State University
302A Engineering Tech Building
1201 Locust Avenue
Fairmont, WV 26554

Voice: (304) 367-4967

On Nov 6, 2023, at 10:35 AM, Niichel, Robert < Robert.Niichel@fairmontstate.edu> wrote:

Fairmont State University STEM 1100 STEM SOAR Master Syllabus 2023-2024

Section and Semester*

Instructor	Name	and	Title:
------------	------	-----	--------

Phone: E-mail:

Office Location: Office Hours:

Classroom Location:

Course Description

Students will gain the knowledge and tools needed to achieve STEM academic success and participate in personal growth activities that help them to develop the qualities of a college-educated person. Additionally, students will develop strong connections with STEM faculty, staff, and other STEM students who will walk with them throughout their college career.

Course Delivery*

[Instructors may add notes about course delivery.]

Course Map/Connecting Learning Outcomes and Assessments

By the end of the course...

Course Learning Outcomes	Assessments/ Assignments
Students will apply academic tools to their personal college experience.	Students will produce an academic pathway/plan using electronic campus tools like Digital Measures or the course catalog. Students will also submit a brief description of what resources they used to develop the plan. Assessed using Academic Plan Rubric
Students will learn how to use campus resources to solve challenges they face.	Students will complete a scavenger hunt activity during class time. The

	following week, students will take a
	quiz on campus resources.
Students will actively participate in class activities.	Students will complete a group presentation on a STEM topic that interests them. Students and professor will then complete an assessment of each of their group
	members.

Evaluation and Grading Scale [Suggestion]

Final course grades will be determined as follows:

Attendance and Participation: 33%
Assignments: 33%
Group Project: 24%
Final Activity: 10%

Students must receive a final grade of "C" or better to receive Core Curriculum credit.

Course Policies and Guidelines [added by instructors]

[Include any course or university policies that students need to be aware of. This is where you set expectations for student behavior as learners and as people. It is strongly suggested to include policies regarding academic integrity and late submission. Other policies may include student conduct, incomplete grades, withdrawal without penalty, confidentiality, or course communication. Sample policy categories and language are below.]

Academic Support and Resources

Standard Syllabus Statements

https://www.fairmontstate.edu/academicaffairs/syllabus-statements

Learning Enrichment and Academic Development Center

https://www.fairmontstate.edu/academics/lead-center

Minimum Technology Requirements

https://wvnet.softchalkcloud.com/lesson/serve/Y2ncKLQFmJ18C0/html

Student Policies

https://wvnet.softchalkcloud.com/lesson/serve/WCqoipmRAUxltj/html

Course Outcomes:

Outcome 1: Students will apply academic tools to their personal college experience.

Outcome 2: Students will use campus resources to solve challenges they face.

Outcome 3: Students will actively participate in class activities.

Course Topics

- 1. Basic college skills (5 weeks)
 - a. Getting ready for a course
 - i. Syllabus
 - ii. Blackboard
 - iii. Academic policies overview
 - iv. Understanding academic barriers
 - b. Time Management—using planners and calendars
 - c. Test-taking
 - i. General test-taking skills
 - ii. Math-specific tips and advice
 - d. Library and LEAD Center
 - e. Campus Resources
- 2. Intermediate skills for succeeding as a STEM student (5 weeks)
 - a. Metacognition: how to think about how you think
 - b. My STEM Story—draft
 - c. Finding and reading STEM articles
 - d. Note-taking skills
 - e. Academic pathways—planning for your future as a STEM major
 - f. Using Microsoft Excel and Office
- 3. Building a sense of STEM professionalism (4 weeks + Final exam period)
 - a. Group project—presentation on a STEM topic of interest
 - b. Metacognition—personal experiences
 - c. My STEM story—end-of-semester version
 - d. Peer mentoring—getting help from your fellow students
 - e. Team-building activities